

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,064	10/05/2005	Oliver Schadt	MERCK-3067	6539
23599 7590 05/07/2007 MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400			EXAMINER	
			JARRELL, NOBLE E	
ARLINGTON,	VA 22201	!	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1609	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	05/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/552,064	SCHADT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Noble Jarrell	1609			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Application.	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE and the communication, even if timely filed	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims		10.00			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) (-11 · is/are rejected.  7) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/05/2005.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Art Unit: 1609

### DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

## Status of Application

1. The Response to Election/Restriction dated April 3, 2007 is acknowledged. Claims 1-7, 10, and 14 are rejoined with claims 8-13 and 15-17. Group III and compound 8 are recognized.

Applicants contend that search burden was not shown in original restriction and that the full scope of the invention can be searched without a serious burden. However, since there are so many possible substituents on variables R<sup>1</sup>, R<sup>2</sup>, R<sup>3</sup>, and R<sup>4</sup>, the full scope presents a serious burden. Take for example, the group "het". This group can be an "aromatic or in particular heterocyclic radical which in unsubstituted or substituted by A." (page 35, lines 5-6 of the specification). From this broad definition, "het" is any heterocyclic ring. Applicant goes on to state that "het" can also be dimethylamino or ethylmethyl-amino moiety. Based on the definition of "het" taken from the specification, a search burden exists, and "het" can be either a heterocyclic ring or a heteroalkyl group. Since "het" is part of variables R<sup>1</sup>-R<sup>4</sup>, a serious search burden does exist. Take, for example, Jiaang et al. (US20070093492, published April 26, 2007) have structures on pages 2-3 of the specification with rings that could mean "het." Some examples are pyrrolidine, benzopyrrolidine, thiazoline fused with piperadine, 1,3,4-triazole fused with piperadine, and benzene fused with piperadine.

Therefore; the restriction is deemed proper and MADE FINAL

### Priority

2. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Germany on 04/05/2003. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the 103 15 569.4 application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter that the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/552,064 Page 3

Art Unit: 1609

4. Claims 11-13 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

5. Claims 11-13 and 16 provides for the use of compounds of formula I, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claims 11-13 and 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

6. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Under variables R³ and R⁴, there are three indeterminate substituents. They are (CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>n</sub>000R⁵, (CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>n</sub>N(R⁵)C(R⁵)H000R⁵, and (CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>n</sub>N(R⁵)CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N(R⁵)CH<sub>2</sub>000R⁵. As best the examiner can tell, these groups are typos and should be (CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>n</sub>OCOR⁵, (CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>n</sub>N(R⁵)C(R¹)COOR⁵, and (CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>n</sub>N(R⁵)CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N(R⁵)COOR⁵, respectively.

### **Double Patenting**

7. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct

Page 4

Art Unit: 1609

from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In *re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

8. Claims 1-2, 11-14 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim1-2, 11-14 of copending Application No. 10/551905.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of both applications embrace each other. Figure 1, shown below, shows formula I in each of the applications.

Art Unit: 1609

$$R^{1} \longrightarrow R^{2}$$

$$R^{2} \longrightarrow R^{3}$$

$$X = CH \text{ or } N$$

$$X = CH \text{ Formula I in the instant application}$$

$$X = CH$$

$$R^{3} \longrightarrow R^{2}$$

$$X = CH$$

$$R^{3} \longrightarrow R^{3}$$

$$X = CH$$

$$R^{3} \longrightarrow R^{3}$$

$$R^{3} \longrightarrow R^{3}$$

$$R^{4} \longrightarrow R^{2}$$

$$R^{3} \longrightarrow R^{3}$$

$$R^{3} \longrightarrow R^{3}$$

$$R^{3} \longrightarrow R^{3}$$

$$R^{3} \longrightarrow R^{3}$$

$$R^{4} \longrightarrow R^{2}$$

$$R^{3} \longrightarrow R^{3}$$

$$R^{4} \longrightarrow R^{4} \longrightarrow R^{4}$$

$$R^{3} \longrightarrow R^{3}$$

$$R^{3} \longrightarrow R^{3}$$

$$R^{4} \longrightarrow R^{4} \longrightarrow R^{4}$$

$$R^{3} \longrightarrow R^{4} \longrightarrow R^{4}$$

$$R^{4} \longrightarrow R^{4} \longrightarrow R^{4}$$

$$R^{5} \longrightarrow R^{4} \longrightarrow R^{4} \longrightarrow R^{4} \longrightarrow R^{4}$$

$$R^{5} \longrightarrow R^{4} \longrightarrow R^{4$$

$$R^1$$
 $N$ 
 $R^4$ 
 $R^3$ 

X=CH or N Formula I in 10/552065

Figure 1: Formula I in each of the applications

As is shown in figure 1, variable R<sup>1</sup> in the instant application is equivalent to variable R<sup>6</sup> in 10/551905. Following the same logic, R<sup>2</sup> (instant) equals R<sup>3</sup> (10/551905), R<sup>3</sup> equals R<sup>1</sup>, and R<sup>4</sup> equals R<sup>2</sup>. Although the definitions of these variables are not equivalent in each application, formulae I of each application embrace each other. The following table shows the meaning of some of the variable in the applications.

Instant	Application	10/551905	
<u>Variable</u>	Meaning	<u>Variable</u>	Meaning
R <sup>1</sup>	H, A, Hal, (CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>n</sub> Het, etc.	R <sup>6</sup>	(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>n</sub> Het
R <sup>2</sup>	(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>n</sub> Het	R <sup>3</sup>	(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>n</sub> Het
$\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^4$	H, (CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>n</sub> Het	$R^1, R^2$	$(CH_2)_n$ Het $(R^1)$ , H, A,

Application/Control Number: 10/552,064 Page 6

Art Unit: 1609

			Hal (R <sup>2</sup> )
R <sup>5</sup>	H or A	R'	H or A
· A	$C_{1-10}$ alkyl or alkoxy, $C_{2-10}$ alkenyl or alkoxyalkyl	A	$C_{1-10}$ alkyl or alkoxy, $C_{2-10}$ alkenyl or
			alkoxyalkyl
Het	A saturated, unsaturated	Het	A saturated,
·	or aromatic mono- or		unsaturated or aromatic
	bicyclic heterocyclic or		mono- or bicyclic
	linear or branched organic		heterocyclic or linear or
	radical		branched organic
		·	radical
Ar	Phenyl (unsubstituted or	Ar .	Phenyl (unsubstituted
	substituted)		or substituted)

In addition, the elected species in the instant application, 1-ethyl-4-((1-(2'-fluorobiphenyl-4-yl)-5-(furan-2-yl)-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)methyl)piperazine, shown below is in both applications, and is a species of claim 1 in both applications.

Art Unit: 1609

Even though the scope of the two applications is not identical, there is a case of obvious type double patenting the same species is embraced by formula I of both applications. The above structure is structure 8 on page 29 in the specification of the instant application and structure 8 on page 26 of the specification in 10/551905. This is only one example of a species that is embraced by both formulae, and there may be other species that do the same.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

9. Claims 12-13 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim2-3 of copending Application No. 10/552065. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because formulae I of each application embrace each other, even though they are not of the same scope. Claims 12-13 of the instant use formula I as 5-HT receptor antagonist and a s 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> receptor antagonist, respectively.

Art Unit: 1609

Claims 2-3 of 10/552065 are worded the same way as claims 12-13 of the instant application. The only difference between formula I in each application is the placement of variable X, as seen in figure 1. In the instant application, X is *ortho* to the link to the pyrazole ring and in 10/552065, variable X is *meta* to the linkage. One compound that is produced in 10/552065 and is anticipated by formulae I in both applications is 1-((1-(2'-fluorobiphenyl-4-yl)-5-(furan-2-yl)-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)methyl)-4-methylpiperazine, which is shown below. This compound is compound 103 (page 41 of the specification) of 10/552065.

Since this compound is an acceptable species of formulae I in both applications, there is a case of obvious type double patenting.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Art Unit: 1609

### Allowable Subject Matter

Page 9

10. No claims are allowed. However, the compounds 1-703 that fall within the elected group are free of the prior art of record. The only documents with the elected species are applicants' own work.

11. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The closest prior art of record is the structure shown below, which was entered into STN November 16, 1984.

This structure does not anticipate the elected group or species because the six-membered ring with two nitrogens is not piperazine, but pyrazine.

### **Conclusions**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Noble Jarrell whose telephone number is (571) 272-9077. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 to 6:00. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang, can be reached on (571) 272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1609

NJ

VICKIE KIM \

J